

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,467		11/15/1999	JUN YOSHIDA	35.C14025	3001
5514	7590	09/20/2002			
		LLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
	ROCKEFELLER PLAZA W YORK, NY 10112			AKHAVANNIK, HUSSEIN	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2621	
				DATE MAILED: 09/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Table 4						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
· Office Action Commons	09/440,467	YOSHIDA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Hussein Akhavannik	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI , cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  1S from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	nn.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the applicant							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vii irom consideration.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	oted or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in App	olication No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)	- p andon 00 0.0.0. 3	g					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a system for detecting illegal processes, classified in class380, subclass 1.
  - II. Claims 14-17 and 25-27, drawn to watermark extraction and recognition, classified in class 382, subclass 100.
  - III. Claims 18-21, drawn to detecting input contents and embedding digital information, classified in class 380, subclass 277.
  - IV. Claims 22-24, drawn to watermark embedding and time updating, classified in class 713, subclass 178.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I, II, III, and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as extracting any digital watermark and comparing it with any data obtained through a calculation. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as determining whether digital data is present and embedding digital information in another sub-set of data. In the instant case, invention IV has separate utility such as embedding a watermark and a separate file preparation to update time. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,467

Art Unit: 2621

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: If applicant elects group I to prosecute on the merits, then applicant will further be required to elect a species of the invention.

Figure 18 corresponds to species 1; Figure 19 corresponds to species 2; Figure 20 corresponds to species 3; Figure 21 corresponds to species 4; Figure 22 corresponds to species 5; Figure 23 corresponds to species 6; Figure 24 corresponds to species 7; and Figure 26 corresponds to species 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/440,467

Art Unit: 2621

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hussein Akhavannik whose telephone number is (703)306-4049. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leo H. Boudreau can be reached on (703)305-4706. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9314 for regular communications and (703)872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/440,467

Art Unit: 2621

Hussein Akhavannik September 18, 2002

 $\Delta, L_{J}$ 

LEO BOUDREAU

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600